

## **Public Workshop #2 for C-60 Park Site**

June 11, 2026 at Riego Creek Elementary

Attendees: 12 residents

### 1) Introductions

- a) Tara Gee, Park Planning & Development Manager
- b) Jill Nunes, Parks, Recreation & Libraries Director
- c) Heather Buck, Sr. Park Development Project Manager
- d) Joel De Jong, Park Development Project Manager
- e) Josh Cervantes, Park Development Project Manager
- f) Diana Vazquez, Park Development Project Manager
- g) Jennifer Adona, Park Development Office Assistant

### 2) Project Info

- a) C-60 is a 6.8 acre park site located in the Creekview area, the surrounding streets are Westbrook Blvd, Holt Pkwy and Celebrate Dr.  
Construction Budget: \$3.7 M

### 3) Purpose of the Meeting

- a) To share the concept sketch developed based on the feedback received to date

### 4) Background

#### a) School/ Park

- Adjacency to a school
- Share campus approach
- Avoid duplication of recreational features
- More variety
- 2-2.5 hour “stay and play” value

#### b) We are still in Step 1 (Public Workshops) of the Park Planning Process. (Graphic shown)

- Reminder building a park is a 24-36 month process

#### c) We held the first meeting virtually on January 1, 2026

##### (1) Concerns discussed

- Parking
- Safety for kids
- Traffic/ Speeding
- Cleanliness
- Vandalism

(2) Top Items identified at the first meeting (not in any priority order)

- Shade and shade trees
- shaded play areas
- Pickleball courts *Response: Loud, brings in people from all over not just the neighborhood. This is more of an all-day stay and play value that is better suited for a regional park, like Mahany, Maidu, Crabb, not suited for a site next to an elementary school.*
- Water fountains *Response: Misters and water features not only bring traffic to the area, but we have we have agreement with the water department about installation of these features and have to consider water conservation efforts.*
- Batting cages *Response: High maintenance, high expense. Our other City batting cages became non-operational in 2008 because of the high level of expertise needed to run it. This is not effective to have at a school/ park site where there will be no staff.*
- Parking

5) Concept Sketch, shown, is over the budget. (Westbrook Blvd. on top and Winding Creek Elementary on the bottom.) The school parking lot to connect the park parking lot creating synergy and shared use for open house, back to school night and on non-school days the park will have full access.

a) Amenities include (base bid): Baseball/ Softball fields, walking pathways, children's play area with shade, parking, restrooms, bark mulch with pockets of plantings.

b) Because the number of park features exceed the budget, we have shown some that could be added "if budget allows". This allows us to include these features in the design and to obtain bids for them. If we receive competitive bids and have room in the budget, we can add elements as budget allows. This is a "menu-style" type of bidding. Included in the menu if budget allows are:

- (1) Swings
- (2) Shaded picnic
- (3) Unique play feature
- (4) More grass

c) Feedback – If budget allows we might be able to add one or more of the following amenities, depending on the bids. *If you had to pick **one** of the "add-on" features labeled "as budget allows" which would you choose?*

- 5 votes for swings
- 7 votes for shaded picnic area
- 0 votes for grass
- 0 votes for play feature *Response: This is a unique feature/ climbable art to incorporate socialization and mental health. (Example shown.)*

*Are we moving in the right direction?*

- 9 shared their approval

## 6) Public Comments/ Questions

- a) When will we break ground? *Response: Late Spring/ Early Summer 2027*
- b) Is there a limit on how many trees a park has? Some parks lack trees. *Response: Depends on budget and a park's specific amenities. For instance, sites with a soccer field or ball field, often have more trees lining the perimeter.*
- c) Will it have a bathroom? *Response: Yes*
- g) What is the theme of the park going to be? Could we have something with the Winding Creek or dragonfly theme? *Response: This park won't be named for many months out. We have a Park Naming submission process which opens for the full month of July each year where you can make suggestions. If you have suggestions, check our web site and make sure you submit. We usually don't pick the theme until after the park is named. We strive to make each of our parks unique and have their own identity. If a park is named after a person, we may tie in the theme to reflect that person or their hobby. For example, Mahan Park has animal footprints because the namesake was a hunter; Goto Park has a fish water feature because the namesake was loved fishing. Roseville has 95 parks, so we try to be creative. Some of our parks are named after geographical locations or like with Hawk's Landing Park, which will be an opportunity to educate about hawks.*
- h) Have you considered outdoor classrooms as an amenity? *Response: Yes, Riego Creek Park has one nearby.*
- i) Which park nearby will have a sprayground? *Response: Pistachio Regional Park*
- j) Will basketball at the school be available for use since you don't want to duplicate amenities? *Response: We are in discussion with the school district and we do have a joint-use agreement.*
- k) What about vandalism? Will there be cameras? *Response: You can submit concerns using the myRSVL app. Not many parks have cameras, but Roseville is generally safe and we partner with the Police Department. Vandalism is usually handled quickly.*
- l) Is there plans to reopen the batting cages? Where were they? *Response: No. Maidu Regional Park and Mahany Park.*
- m) Request for bucket swings and zipline.
- n) Request for tot play area with platforms and slides that aren't too rough, like the logs at Maidu. *Response: Tot play areas require a separate play space and being sensitive to budget, we want to make sure we can include a fun play area for all. We focus on school-aged play (5 to 12 years old) and can incorporate some play features for the younger children.*
- o) Why do we use the small triangle shade sails? *Response: They are more affordable and can be angled to shade at different times of day. The solid ones are more costly. The canvas shade sails are installed at a higher height than we prefer so that they can't be reachable and vandalized.*
- p) Will the baseball and softball field have a back stop? *Response: Yes, they will have dirt infields, dug-outs and a backstop. Informal play will be available as will local league play.*
- q) What will the playground age be, there is lot of younger parents in this area with 1-2 year olds? *Response: Tot play structures are usually for ages 2-5 and school*

*age play structures are usually for 5-12 year olds. In our experience, the youngsters generally want to play on the big kid playgrounds and think the tot ones are boring. We have to consider the longevity of use versus play value, especially since they require separate areas. What we usually strive to do is incorporate both in the playground design.*

- r) What input did the school district give? *Response: They liked the concept. We need to further discuss how to connect the 2 parking lots while providing a safe path of travel for pedestrians.*
- s) Did you design pathways to make a looped path? *Response: Yes, we usually include looped pathways in our designs.*
- t) How often will the fields be used for baseball? *Response: This depends on field allocations and time of year.*

## 7) Next Steps

- a) In about a week, the meeting minutes and the slide deck will be posted on the City's web site at [www.roseville.ca.gov/parksintheworks](http://www.roseville.ca.gov/parksintheworks)
- b) Meeting attendees were asked to share this information with their neighbors.
- c) We will review the feedback from today and any from emails and refine the sketch into a rendering. That refined master plan will be posted online for a 2-week comment period. We will need to know if you like it or not. There was an instance where we didn't hear comments and had to have another meeting. People were confused why we had to prolong the process. This is important that we see your feedback of support or additional comments. .
- d) The faster we get to a level of comfort for support, the sooner we can move on to the City Council to approve the Master Plan.
- e) If approved, we will develop construction plans.
- f) We hope to break ground in the Late Spring/early Summer 2027.
- g) Reminder: We will have status updates on our park projects online. When the park gets to the establishment period it may look done, but isn't as we are conducting safety inspections, permit sign-offs and making sure the grass is tough enough to endure heavy foot traffic. Please respect the fences and let your neighbors know that entering the park before it's ready can postpone opening.